## REMARKS

The present amendment is being made to correct what appear to be inadvertent clerical errors in the examiner's amendment of December 12, 2007. In the Examiner Interview Summary Record for the interview of December 5, 2007, which record accompanied the Notice of Allowance of December 12, 2007, the examiner described the interview as follows:

Applicant advised that claims 11 and 12 remainder [sic] rejected, but that introduction of claim 13 into claim 11 and cancellation of claim 13 would make the case allowable. Applicant agreed, authorized an examiner's amendment and was informed that the case was allowed.

Applicant agrees that this is a correct summary of the substance of the interview. Thus, for example, claim 14 should not have changed and the subject matter of claim 13 that was incorporated into claim 11 should not have been changed.

The present amendment corrects the spelling of "furfuryl" in new amended claim 11, which misspelling previously appeared in claim 13. The examiner's amendment corrected this spelling error in the specification, and so this correction has already been recognized by the examiner as being appropriate. The correction of the capitalization of the word "and" corrects a clerical error in the examiner's

In re of Appln. No. 10/521,193
Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.312

amendment and makes the claim correspond to the language as it appeared in previously appearing claim 13.

The amendments to claim 14 made herein are simply to bring the language of claim 14 as appearing in the examiner's amendment into complete correspondence with the language of claim 14 as it appeared prior to the examiner's amendment, in the amendment of October 2, 2007. All of the additions made herein to claim 14 appeared in claim 14 as of the amendment of October 2, 2007. Accordingly, this amendment merely corrects what appears to have been inadvertent errors in the examiner's amendment.

It is submitted that the amendments presented hereinabove are to correct typographical or clerical errors or to correct errors on the part of the Patent and Trademark Office. These amendments are needed for proper disclosure or protection of the invention and require no substantial amount of additional work on the part of the examiner. Entry of this amendment is therefore earnestly solicited.

The issue fee has not yet been paid.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By /rlb/
Roger L. Browdy
Registration No. 25,618

RLB:jmd

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\C\cohn\FISHMAN13A\Pto\2008-01-16DRAFT312Amendment.doc